

The FSTP Test – Its Mathematical Assessment of an ET CI's Practical and SPL Quality

LESI 2015, International Conference, Brussels
Workshop, April 13, 2015

Prof. Dr. Bernd Wegner
TU Berlin / TELES PRI GmbH

Mathematics for CIs?

- ◆ Why may mathematics be important for developing a precise and robust Claim Interpretation and Claim Construction being in accordance with SPL for a CI?
- ◆ The answer may be seen from the next slide representing areas from applied mathematics where mathematics is used as a familiar tool for solving problems and taking decisions. As refers to the above, so far nobody tried to apply mathematics to these subjects.

Mathematics for CIs?

- ◆ Mathematical models provide more abstract descriptions solutions for practical problems like
- ◆ the motion of a fluid,
- ◆ the stability of a construction,
- ◆ the risk management of financial transactions,
- ◆ the dynamics of a population,
- ◆ gambling strategies,
- ◆ the prediction of monster waves etc.
- ◆ by mathematical objects and procedures like sets, maps, structures, numbers, equations, optimization techniques, probabilities etc.

- ◆ In general there are basic requirements as follows:
- ◆ The description should take into account all aspects and properties of the practical problem, which are necessary to develop mathematical procedures leading to reliable predictions and convincing solutions.
- ◆ In our case the modeling will not involve the many intimidating procedures from high school mathematics. It relies on elementary notions like sets, maps, relations, transformations, elementary logics or counting only.

Inventive concepts

- ◆ The basic entities for describing claimed inventions should be **inventive concepts** (US Supreme Court's Mayo decision).
- ◆ Mathematically spoken the creative parts of inventive concepts are given by a domain and a binary map separating the domain into a truth set and a false set.
- ◆ The Substantive Patent Law given by Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112 of the national US patent law 35 USC and a series of precedents as mentioned in the preceding presentation.
- ◆ Testing a claimed invention under this SPL means testing it under the 10 FSTP tests.

Concepts and KRT

- ◆ The development of the inventive concepts is based on a series of mathematical procedures dealing with creative concepts and leading to the creative parts of inventive concepts:
 - ◆ fact screening of the claims in terms of creative concepts on different levels of precision,
 - ◆ transformations between these concept sets, helping to derive the concepts of higher precision and keeping control on the relations between the concepts on different levels (the Knowledge Representation Transformation),
 - ◆ selecting complete sets of independent concepts from the final set of elementary (disaggregated) concepts,
 - ◆ determining complete sets of independent innovative concepts from the set of independent concepts by comparison with prior art and pertinent skill.

FSTP-Test (1)

- ◆ The FSTP-Test consists of a combination of 10 single tests. They are related to the 10 concerns quantifying the given SPL.
- ◆ Some of the tests are reflected mathematically by the data structure developed for the formal description of inventive concepts.
- ◆ Another part of the tests can be checked automatically by a formalized test (mathematical / logical) applied to the inventive concepts.
- ◆ Some tests have to involve the opinion of an expert. The reliable basis for his will be inventive concepts.

- ◆ The mathematical background for the first tests given by the data structure of an inventive concept consisting of a creative concept, which is enhanced by disclosures and justifications referencing parts of the claims of the application and the relevant parts of the SPL
- ◆ The key terms for these tests are
 - ◆ disaggregation into elementary concepts,
 - ◆ lawful disclosures,
 - ◆ definitiveness and
 - ◆ enablement

FSTP-Test (3)

- ◆ For the following tests further mathematical modeling is necessary:
- ◆ KSR-test : S is well-defined over posc;
- ◆ TT0's implementation by S is enablingly/lawfully disclosed;
- ◆ Bilski-test : TT0 is non-preemptive;
- ◆ Alice-test : TT0 is patent-eligible;
- ◆ Biosig-test : TT0 is definite;
- ◆ RS-Definiteness-test : RS is well-defined over TT0;
- ◆ Graham-test : TT0 is patentable.

- ◆ A scheme for the comparison of S with prior art and pertinent skill is established.
- ◆ The scope of a CI has been defined in terms of sequences of domain elements of inventive concepts.
- ◆ This is used for deciding on the non-preemptiveness of a CI.
- ◆ A formal description of an abstract idea is given.

Thank you for your attention